

REMARKS

The Applicants request reconsideration of the rejection.

Claims 1-12 and 40-53 are pending, after cancellation of claims 13-39 and 54-57.

The Examiner objected to the drawings as containing reference symbols 10, 11, and 34, which were not believed to have corresponding mention in the description. In reply, the Applicants refer the Examiner, for example, to the specification at page 19, line 14 through page 20, line 2, which described a package 2 of a flat quadrangular shape having corner portions that are chamfered as slant faces 10. Side faces of the package 2 are formed as slant faces 11. The Applicants further refer the Examiner to the specification at page 45, lines 3-7, which describe through holes 34 that are disposed in a square frame area p of mounting substrate 30. In accordance with these and other mentions in the specification, the Applicants request withdrawal of the objection to the drawings.

The Applicants have provided a new title, adopting that timely suggested by the Examiner.

Claims 15-25 were rejected under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for a minor informality on page

4 of the Office Action. Claims 15-25 were also rejected under 35 USC § 103 as being unpatentable over Nakashima et al U.S. Patent No. 6,388,311 in view of Minamio et al U.S. Patent No. 6,208,820. Each of these rejections is moot in view of the cancellation of claims 15-25. The Applicants have canceled these claims to expedite allowance of the pending claims, and admit no propriety in the rejections.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, the Applicants request reconsideration of the rejection and allowance of the claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel J. Stanger
Daniel J. Stanger
Registration No. 32,846
Attorney for Applicants

MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C.
1800 Diagonal Road, Suite 370
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
Telephone: (703) 684-1120
Date: May 5, 2003